SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTIONS – COLLATED SUMMARY

	Question 1 - Do you estimate missing reactive import (RI) data and what power factor do you use?	Working Group Comments
ENWL	In our earlier consultation we stated that we do undertake estimation for any missing data item inclusive of RI. We also indicated that we used 0.9 and this value was based on an assessment of the HH customers within our distribution area. However we must caveat our answer in that we can only use 0.9 if we have RE for the same HH period. If this is not available we follow similar lines to that of the HHDC (in complying with their BSC obligations) by considering historic data. Where no historic data is available (e.g. a new connection) we calculate the value based on an estimated annual consumption/(365*48). This is further caveated in that on import/export sites it depends on whether the site is exporting or importing during that HH period if the metering is not P266 compliant. Also on non compliant P266 metering consideration is given on using the same value on the import as on the export for the same HH period but would only be used in a calculation dependant on whether it was exporting or importing at that time. So as indicated above, if you are attempting to mandate reactive estimation by the distributor this cannot be delivered unless you determine all the variables that would prevent such estimation otherwise the distributor would be in breach of DCUSA. Using a power factor of 0.9 is not the full answer and as such based on:	The Working Group reviewed and noted the contents of this response. The Working Group then discussed the first bullet point within this response, and highlighted that if the legal text is amended to address only one channel as previously discussed within the review of the Consultation responses, then this point will be addressed. The Group noted that the legal text has not yet been changed to only reference RI. It was agreed that ElectraLink will email the Working Group members to get an opinion on whether to amend the text in this way.

	• the limited intent (the use of only such a value irrespective of whether it be for RI or RE); and • the inability to estimate such a missing value if other data is not available when considering the calculation contained in the CDCM); this change proposal should be withdrawn.	
ESPE	Not currently but we are intending to in the future – and we would use a PF of 0.90.	The Working Group noted the response.
UKPN	Yes, 0.9	The Working Group noted the response.
WPD	WPD do not estimate missing reactive data	The Working Group noted the response.

	Question 2 - As at July 2012, how many HH billed customers did you have? **Question 3 - Of those, for how many customers have you received complete active data but not complete reactive data from the HHDC for the month of July 2012?**	
ENWL	The information from our billing team indicate that we billed 8898 HH sites of which 4.96% had an incomplete set of data. It is difficult to assess whether this was AI, RI, RE or AE data that caused the estimation. Any such analysis would take a considerable time to gather. Perhaps this question should have also been considered by suppliers who receive the same data we do from their HH Data Collector especially if they estimate missing data to calculate their DUoS bill as part of their validation criteria and as such should be able to provide this level of granularity.	The Working Group noted the response to this question, and agreed that it would not be necessary to ask Suppliers the same questions as it would not provide any additional information that has not already been provided by the Distributor respondents to these questions. The Working Group agreed that if Suppliers were asked these questions, it may not capture the scale (%) of the issue, or any regional differences.
ESPE	129 HH MPANs currently being bills, of which 16 are missing RI data for July 2012.	The Working Group noted the response.
UKPN	Across all of our networks we had 33,750 energised HH MPANs in July, of which 6,510 have incomplete reactive data.	The Working Group noted the response.
WPD	SWEB – 5,246 – 155 SWAE – 3,732 – 119 EMEB – 11,173 - 892 MIDE – 10,347 - 896	The Working Group noted the response.